

From: [OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK](#)
To: [Tracy, Mary](#)
Subject: FW: Amendment top APR 26
Date: Thursday, February 20, 2020 12:39:40 PM

From: Toni Froehling [mailto:toni@froehlinglaw.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 20, 2020 12:38 PM
To: OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK <SUPREME@COURTS.WA.GOV>
Subject: Amendment top APR 26

Please add my voice to those expressing opposition to the proposed rule change broadly requiring Malpractice Coverage for virtually all attorneys practicing law. While malpractice coverage is certainly wise, and I've never practiced without it, I do not believe it should be a requirement. My situation is a good example. I am about to turn 69 years of age. I currently practice with a partner and work about 20 hours per week. Over the next year or so, I will gradually reduce my office work load to nothing. However, I will still help out when needed or asked, both here at my current office and also helping my son, who is an attorney here in Washington. I also intend to expand my pro bono work, helping at legal clinics as time allows. My work in all those venues will be very limited and I do not want to have to spend several thousand dollars a year for the privilege of answering a few interrogatories on occasion, or giving advice if someone calls with a few question.

Thanks

Antoni H. Froehling—attorney

FROEHLING—HENDRICKS
510 E. Main Suite F
Puyallup, WA 98372

253 770 0116 fax 253 770 0144